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**Abstract** (211 words)

**Introduction**

Healthcare assistants perform a broad range of clinical and administrative tasks across many clinical settings, and are supervised by most professional groups. This diversity challenges their consistent scope of practice and patterns of skill utilisation. It is not clear whether formal education in universities could better shape the role and workforce opportunities for the allied health assistant workforce

**Methods and analysis**

An exploratory qualitative study was designed to investigate the perspectives of senior allied health clinicians and academics about the educational needs and workplace opportunities for AHAs. We were interested in whether university education for AHAs could help to shape consistent roles and expectations of AHAs for the future workforce. A convenience sample of participants was invited to participate in exploratory semi-structured interviews, and their responses were thematically analysed.

**Results**

Twelve participants representing eight different allied health professions were interviewed. Three themes emerged. Participants described and reinforced the diverse roles, capabilities and expectations of the health assistant workforce. Current vocational training was seen as inconsistent and insufficient and participants reported significant local training occurring to meet the expectations of different work environments. Future educational pathways in universities were not supported by any participants, for many largely practical reasons.

**Conclusion**

It seems that university level education is not considered a feasible tool to ensure consistent workforce roles and expectations for allied health assistants. Instead, AHAs may need integrated educational pathways across vocational training and workplace environments. Continued workforce design is required to align assistant and professional roles and expectations.
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# Article (3025 words)

# Introduction

Healthcare services globally are being challenged to respond to increasing technology and changing population profiles. Patients have greater access to information which, shapes their expectations and abilities to participate in their healthcare decisions. Further, with an ageing population, current systems cannot sustain the management of chronic and complex diseases in hospital based care. Consequently, healthcare systems and funding arrangements are changing, along with the practice and expectations of healthcare workers (Pearce & Pagett, 2015). The shortage of health professionals in Australia has prompted workforce redesign initiatives and shifting boundaries between health professionals (Duckett, 2005; Nancarrow & Borthwick, 2005; Stanhope & Pearce, 2013). Medical specialists are sharing first contact for outpatients with extended scope allied health professionals (AHPs) and nurse practitioners. With appropriate systems and supports, these extended scope roles meet patient expectations for safe, effective and efficient care in areas of workforce shortage (Turnbull et al., 2009). At the same time, AHPs are allocating tasks to allied health assistants (AHAs) on the premise that the use of AHAs can better manage demands on allied health services, improve patient outcomes and more efficiently maximise skills of the workforce (Nancarrow et al., 2013; Stute et al., 2013).

AHAs work under the delegation and supervision of many AHPs, with adults and children across a full range of clinical conditions and environments, providing direct client care and indirect support. The actual range and type of clinical and administrative duties varies according to local clinical teams, their structures and processes. Clinical duties include administering clinical services, preparing patients and providing patient education and supervision. Administrative duties include preparing clinical environments, maintaining equipment, monitoring databases and housekeeping (Lizarondo et al., 2010). The use of AHAs has been reported to reduce the caseload burden of AHPs and create more time for them to concentrate on complex tasks (O’Brien et al., 2013). AHAs are well accepted by clients who appreciate the additional therapy time they receive. Healthcare benefits from using health assistants include increased intensity of clinical care, optimised patient flow, better professional skill usage and increased patient satisfaction (Lizarondo et al., 2010; O’Brien et al., 2013; Stute et al., 2014).

Despite multiple initiatives across Australia to expand the scope of practice for AHPs and consequently increase opportunities to delegate to the AHA workforce, there has been limited consistent uptake of AHA roles (Stanhope & Pearce, 2013; Stute et al., 2013). The diversity of tasks and work settings provides challenges in defining and ensuring consistent scope of practice, skill utilisation and patterns of patient care responsibilities (Stanhope & Pearce, 2013). Multiple studies have concluded that AHA roles need further clarity in order to promote better utilisation (Lizarondo et al., 2010; O’Brien et al., 2013; Pearce & Pagett, 2015; Stute et al., 2014). Limited role clarity has also contributed to the inefficient use of AHA roles in new models of care projects (Nancarrow et al, 2013). A recent Victorian workforce redesign study identified that almost 24% of the work completed by AHPs could be delegated to an AHA in community and ambulatory settings (Somerville et al., 2017). This was reported across 12 of the 14 participating allied health professions, with the greatest opportunities being identified for podiatry, prosthetics/orthotics, speech pathology, nutrition and dietetics and social work professionals. The provision of clinical treatment and administrative tasks were identified as the tasks most commonly performed by AHAs in community and ambulatory settings. Delegation is also a documented challenge for AHPs, as it depends on the professional’s confidence in the clinical skills of AHAs and their own ability to delegate tasks (Stute et al., 2014).

It is unclear whether the current educational training and qualifications for AHAs are sufficient to clarify roles and maximise their consistent contribution to the workplace. For many health professions, there has been a pattern, of university level qualifications serving as a pre-requisite to defining a health professional’s scope of practice, which is then reinforced through strict workplace entry requirements (Nancarrow & Borthwick, 2005). For traditional Australian AHPs, undergraduate degree education has largely guaranteed their employment in Australia (Turnbull et al, 2009). This pattern of university level qualifications defining practice requirements does not currently exist for AHAs. Currently in Australia, the Certificates III and IV in Allied Health Assistance are reported as the preferred formal qualification for AHAs (Stute et al, 2014). However, they are not always required for entry into the workplace, and this formal education is often supplemented by significant informal training in the workplace. It is therefore unclear whether any further refinement of education standards for AHAs can shape consistent roles and workforce opportunities for the AHA workforce.

Australia needs an efficient and coordinated interdisciplinary health care workforce to deliver evidence-based care in participative models with patients and their families, in suitable locations. There is a need to match healthcare workers with appropriate tasks based on their skills and experience. Systems will be required to ensure all staff, including AHAs have appropriate skills. Further, appropriate mechanisms are needed to delegate and coordinate care between healthcare workers. One of the first steps could be to investigate whether the education and training of AHAs is sufficient to meet and maintain the workforce requirements of their role (Wallace, 2016).

# Aim

This qualitative exploratory study was designed to investigate the perspectives of senior allied health clinicians and academics about the educational needs and workplace opportunities for AHAs. We were interested in whether university education for AHAs could help to shape consistent roles and expectations of AHAs for the future workforce.

# Methods and analysis

This small explorative qualitative study was undertaken within Queensland, across two settings: Griffith University and Queensland Health. Each setting represents a large key stakeholder group; academic and teaching staff from allied health programmes and senior AHP clinicians, managers and policy makers. A convenience sampling strategy was used to obtain a sample of senior academic, clinical managerial and policy staff who had strategic and experiential expertise in teaching, supervising and advocating for AHAs to participate in an individual interview about the educational and training needs of AHAs. Senior academic staff who had administrative responsibility for teaching and learning across all allied health, medical and nursing disciplines were invited to participate. Professional directors, policy leaders and workforce development officers who had managerial and support functions for AHA staff were invited from all allied health professions in the one health service.

Participants were contacted by the researchers to arrange a suitable time and location for the interview. Interviews were conducted face-to-face with both the Principal Investigator (PI) and Co-Investigator (Co-I) present. Interviews were audio-recorded and notes taken during the interview. An interview template with a small set of open-ended questions was sent to participants before the scheduled interview. Questions were grouped around four discussion areas; AHA role clarity, current support and supervision requirements, critique of current formal and informal education and outstanding educational needs. The interviews were semi-structured to allow for conversation and discussion around additional topics that participants considered important (Fylan 2005). All interviews were recorded and independently transcribed and participants were invited to review and edit their transcripts. Data were coded and categorised separately for the two stakeholder groups: clinicians and academics. Then, comparisons were made between both groups to achieve an integrated perspective. Thematic analysis was conducted in three stages (Castro, Kellison, Boyd, & Kopak, 2010). First level coding involved categorising descriptive verbal responses to the same questions across all participants. Second-level coding grouped similar responses within the four discussion areas. Finally, overarching themes emerged. Both researchers verified the first level descriptive responses, second level coding and third level emergent themes by consensus (Eisner, 1998).

Ethical approval was granted by Griffith University. All participants provided written consent.

# Results

From twenty-two academic and clinical staff invited to participate, twelve were interviewed. Ten staff either did not respond or were not available for interview. Participants equally represented academic (6) and clinical (6) workplaces. Participants represented the professions of speech pathology, dietetics, social work, physiotherapy, pharmacy, occupational therapy, psychology and rehabilitation counselling. Interviews were conducted in person, and ranged from 30-60 minutes in length.

Three themes emerged from both stakeholder groups, in response to the original research question: (1) Diverse roles, capabilities and expectations of the health assistant workforce; (2) Inconsistent pre-requisite qualifications and training opportunities for the health assistant workforce; and (3) Limited educational pathways for the health assistant workforce. Each theme is described below and italicised quotes are used to illustrate perspectives of key stakeholders.

### 1. Diverse roles, capabilities and expectations of the health assistant workforce

All participants described and reinforced a broad range of roles and workplace expectations. Overall, clinician participants reported that health assistants were poorly paid, and generally performed basic, routine tasks to free up health professionals to perform more complex tasks. Participants described variations between AHA roles and their perceived contribution to the professional workforce. Examples of this variation included the report of some professionals who described “we *just let them do admin or manage the equipment (Clinician 1),* and others who described situations where the AHAs’ skills or capabilities were not being fully utilised: “*I don't know that those therapy assistants necessarily get to use all that learning that they acquire” (Clinician 3).*

Clinician participants raised concerns about a lack of consistent scope of practice for AHAs. Commonly, they attributed this to a lack of role clarity and reported that AHA roles were shaped by the local workplace: “s*ome assistants are used to do photocopying and admin work and tasks that administration officers perhaps should be doing” (Clinician 5).* Further, clinician participants reported that it was not always clear to health professionals whether AHAs had the skills and capabilities required for tasks delegated to them. Some clinician participants described how health assistants were not valued and were treated as “*the bottom of the heap*” (*Clinician 2)*. Conversely, participants indicated that some AHAs represent a stable, older workforce, who had significant experience and were extremely capable of doing routine tasks unsupervised:  *“some assistants have been here a very long time, so their reputation is very positive. They're seen as the expert and they're well respected” (Clinician 4).*

Clinician participants described observing their professional peers being reluctant to delegate to AHAs unless there were longstanding relationships of trust and they knew that AHAs had the required capability. While stable and older AHAs were noted to be competent and well respected, some AHAs were delegated basic and routine tasks that did not fully utilise their capabilities. Clinician participants also acknowledged that some professional staff did not have the skills to delegate tasks appropriately. Delegating to assistants was noted as especially difficult for new graduates who were still trying to establish their identity and place within the profession and their workplace. Further, the AHAs usually had more workplace experience than the new graduates.

Academic participants suggested that the assistant workforce may be perceived as a threat to the professions, where some professionals may be reluctant to let go of routine tasks, for fear of losing recognition for some part of their role: “*I understand that can undermine the professional position of the discipline*” (*Academic 2*). Participants described situations where professionals did not have control over the assistants’ scope of practice or their professional development and suggested that this enhanced the perceived threat. It was reported that this negative perception may be more pronounced within the acute setting. Participants generally acknowledged that the assistant workforce played an important role in health settings such as community, remote, indigenous, disability, rehabilitation, mental health, and aged care health services.

Finally, some academic participants indicated that the actual role of health assistants may be under threat due to advances in technology. They described the increased use of automation and robot technology and suggested that routine and predictable tasks will be able to be performed by computers and machines in the future. Given that many of the tasks performed by AHAs are routine and predictable, participants suggested that the role of allied health assistants may need to change in the future to remain viable and sustainable.

### 2. Inconsistent pre-requisite qualifications and training opportunities for the health assistant workforce

Participants recognised that formal training for the health assistant workforce is currently offered at the Certificate III or Certificate IV level through the Vocational Education and Training (VET) sector. This includes Technical and Further Education (TAFE) and private colleges, professional associations and on-line training packages within Queensland Health. Although many AHAs had a certificate III or IV, clinician participants reported that formal qualifications were not a specified requirement for employment of AHAs at entry level positions. While a certificate IV was required for an advanced AHA, clinician participants reported that most hospital positions were not funded for these advanced positions. Further, clinician participants reported the inadequacy of formal education for local role expectations. They reported that AHAs required a high level of practical on the job training to be prepared for the practical tasks they were required to do: “*it doesn’t make them work ready… [they are not able] to do any of the technical tasks we need them to do*” (Clinician 6). Most commonly, participants described the provision of informal training in the workplace to meet practical and local expectations. However, clinician participants described limited opportunities for ongoing professional development and career advancement opportunities for their assistant health workforce. They described ways in which health assistants have not been supported: *“they're not necessarily supported to go and do a lot of the training. They're not given the same opportunities and the professional development allowances to go and do that [with] the organisation supporting them and paying them to do it”*. (Clinician 2)

### 3. Limited educational pathways for the health assistant workforce

Participants confirmed that there are no current university qualifications for AHAs. Further, they did not support universities developing diploma-level qualifications for the assistant workforce. Clinician participants considered it unlikely that the financial costs of university study for AHAs would be sufficiently reimbursed. They anticipated that the high cost of university qualification would be unlikely to be supported by employers at the outset or recognised through higher pay levels for individuals after graduation. Clinician participants expressed concern that any increase in AHA pay or capability would conflict with a new graduate AHP in both role and costs, and this would reinforce their preference to employ a new graduate. A number of clinician participants indicated they preferred to employ new-graduates over assistants, especially when organisations were short-staffed due to budget constraints: “[*new graduates] skill up really quickly and have got so many other attributes that are valuable*” (*Clinician 5*).

Further, both academic and clinical participants discussed that a high proportion of local and technical training for assistants needs to occur in the work environment and that it would be difficult for Universities to support. They emphasised that the ambiguity and instability around the health assistant role within the workplace and the lack of support from the professions presented a significant risk for Universities to invest in this space.

In some professions, assistant work experience was reported to prepare AHAs for professional qualifications: “*There's a pathway that could be explored and maybe it's about setting up bridging pathways”* (*Academic 3*). As an example, assistants who had worked in their role for a period of time were reported to move into professional degrees: “*mature aged students that have been working around the community sector for a long time … reach a point where they understand that they're probably being exploited financially, and if they come back and do a professional qualification, they'll be able to shift into higher positions and do different types of work that they probably aren't able or allowed to do*” (*Academic 3*). However, participants from other academically competitive professions reported that the assistant workforce generally did not have the skill, capabilities or capacity to undertake higher level studies: “*I think some of them might struggle academically to achieve [a professional degree]"(Clinician 5)*. Academic participants reported that for some allied health professions, universities can fill their places with capable school-leavers. Therefore, they suggested there is no need to recognise assistant qualifications as a pathway into a professional degree, and it may be a major disincentive for AHAs to start a full four-year degree without any recognition or credit of their assistant qualifications or work experience.

# Discussion

This qualitative exploration of the educational needs and workplace opportunities for AHAs revealed three integrated themes across both academic and clinician participants. Firstly, the current diversity in roles, capabilities and expectations of AHAs is reflected in a broad range of contributions and perceived value within the workplace. These inconsistencies appear to limit the realisation of the full potential of AHAs in the workplace and are reinforced through a lack of clarity about AHA capabilities, limited successful delegation and some perceptions of role threat to health professionals. Secondly, inconsistent pre-requisite qualifications and training opportunities for the health assistant workforce were widely reported. While vocational training is preferred, it is not required for employment at entry level nor perceived by clinician managers as sufficient preparation. Most workplaces offer practical training, focused on the skills required in the local clinical context. Thirdly, there is limited support for university educational pathways for the health assistant workforce. It was recognised that the high costs of university education are unlikely to be supported by employers, and it was considered unlikely that universities could support the high levels of practical training and support that AHAs required in local clinical settings. Although assistant work can prepare some AHAs for professional qualifications, a pathway from assistant to professional qualifications was not supported in all professions.

The overarching pattern of inconsistent AHA roles and capabilities appears to reinforce the diversity in views of the contribution and value of AHAs in the workplace. Further, it seems that employers’ acceptance of multiple or no formal qualification serves to reinforce the variability of AHA roles and capabilities, and that local workplace training appears to shape unique patterns of AHAs’ skills and capabilities, which may also reinforce the selective use of AHAs’ skills. This pattern of limited role delineation has been reported to lower morale and workplace respect, and hinder effective role development and utilisation (Stute et al., 2014). In this situation, it is unlikely that university education for AHAs will enhance consistent and appropriate utilisation of AHAs in the workforce.

There are inherent workforce challenges which will need to be addressed by clinicians and managers before education can be used to promote consistent roles and responsibilities for AHAs. Continuing workplace reform and development is required to clarify and distinguish AHAs’ scope of practice, such that is it integrated with redesigned roles of allied health professions. It will be important that both the health assistant and professional workforce are matched to appropriate tasks, to maximise their contribution to patient care and to promote individual job satisfaction (Somerville at el., 20017). Clear and consistent AHA roles and skills are required before educational opportunities can adequately prepare AHA for their work roles, and allow continued professional development (Stute et al., 2014; Turnbull et al., 2009). This would ensure AHAs are integrated into teams with their supervising AHPs to meet the current and future healthcare needs of the broader population in an efficient and sustainable manner (Somerville et al., 2017).

Requirements for formal training are likely to translate to expectations of higher wages (Tache and Chapman, 2006). This could be problematic for AHAs in Australia. The public sector wage structures for AHPs provides little recognition of clinical experience or academic training (Turnbull et al, 2009). It is unlikely that this would change for AHAs if they had higher levels of formal education.

***Limitations and Recommendations***

A major limitation of this study was its small convenience sample, which may limit the applicability of reported results to other locations and health settings. Further, the direct perspectives of AHAs were not included in this study. The inclusion of AHAs is recommended in future studies.

Future recommendations for the education of AHAs should include the development of clear training pathways which are integrated across vocational training and workplace environments. Training should complement workplace learning and development, within a broader professional support framework (Turnbull et al., 2009; Somerville at al., 2017). Authentic engagement in, and learning through, consistent workplace practices could also serve to embed a consistent identity and role expectations (Billett & Somerville, 2004). It will be important to monitor AHPs’ skills and confidence in delegating to AHAs and monitor their satisfaction with working with AHAs as potential outcomes of an effective educational system (Somerville et al., 2017).

# Conclusion

Despite the potential for AHAs to release time for professionals to fulfil and extend their scope of practice, there are workforce concerns about a lack of consistent roles and expectations, coupled with limited delegation capability by professionals. The current diversity and consequent inconsistencies in AHA roles, capabilities and expectations have limited their contribution to, and perceived value, within the workplace. Concurrently, inconsistent educational requirements have reinforced local variations in skills and practical training. In this study, there appeared to be no support for university educational pathways for the health assistant workforce. The high costs of university education are unlikely to be supported by employers, and universities are unlikely to be able to deliver the high levels of practical training and support that AHAs require in local clinical settings. Instead, integrated educational pathways across vocational training and workplace environments may be more valuable for AHAs. Continued workforce design is required to align assistant and professional roles and expectations.
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